UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                    | FILING DATE                          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/590,748                         | 11/20/2006                           | Morten Rise Hansen   | 3605                | 2867             |
|                                    | 7590 01/06/201<br>ND TYSVER P.L.L.C. | 2                    | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 2900 THOMAS                        | S AVENUE SOUTH                       |                      | FORTUNA, JOSE A     |                  |
| SUITE 100<br>MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55416 |                                      |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                    | ,                                    |                      | 1741                |                  |
|                                    |                                      |                      |                     |                  |
|                                    |                                      |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                                    |                                      |                      | 01/06/2012          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.   | Applicant(s)        |  |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|
| 10/590,748        | HANSEN, MORTEN RISE |  |
| Examiner          | Art Unit            |  |
| /Jose A. Fortuna/ | 1741                |  |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov   | ver sheet with the correspondence address  |
|---|--|
| THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATIO   | N IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR time periods:  | ) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following |
| a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejec   | etion.   |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MC   | ONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHEC TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the pet have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the cunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statute set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mont may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee bry period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as                                 |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL  | OFD 44 07  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (3 a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time  | 37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>   |  |
| 3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the<br>(a)  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and<br>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal; and/or  | peal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached   | ed Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).   |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submon-allowable claim(s).  | nitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the   |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasonable mass not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered sons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and   |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appendered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all reshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not expended.   | jections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).   |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | of the claims after entry is below or attached.  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT pla<br>See Continuation Sheet.   | ace the application in condition for allowance because:  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) P  | Paper No(s)  |
| 13. Other:  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |
| / loso  | A. Fortuna/  |
| Primar  | ry Examiner<br>it: 1741  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Changing the word "loft" to means "thickness," requires further consideration and search, since loft was interpreted, previously, as raised regions. .

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicants' argue that the process of making the web could/would impart properties to the product., which are inherent to the particular process, (this is the examiner interpretation of applicants" remarks). The examiner does not disagrees with such statement; however, it is applicants burden to supply evidence of such facts when the prior art is silent with regard to the distinctions. It is a well known fact that the wet and dry laid products have different properties and wet pressed and through-dried webs are also known to have different properties, but for those process (es) in which there is nothing or it is not broadly known of the differences, the burden of persuasion is on applicants to show that the claimed product exhibited unexpected/different properties compared with that of the prior art. Also applicants argue that most important feature is the concentration of the latex. Yet the office action clearly states that such concentration was suggested and/or obvious based in the fact that to spray latex the concentration of the latex has to be very low, i.e., very diluted aqueous dispersion, otherwise the latex would clog up the spraying nozzles, see previous office action.